

行政院農業委員會 函

地址：100臺北市南海路37號
承辦人：郭仕強
電話：(02)3343-2054
傳真：(02)2304-7055
電子信箱：kuosc@mail.baphiq.gov.tw

受文者：本會動植物防疫檢疫局高雄分局

發文日期：中華民國106年11月27日
發文字號：農授防字第1061473307號
速別：普通件
密等及解密條件或保密期限：
附件：如文1061473307-a1~a2

主旨：邇來屢傳狂犬病鼬獾入侵民宅附近咬傷民眾案件，特函再申防範類此案件之相關防疫宣導措施如說明，請利用各種管道向民眾宣導，請查照。

說明：

- 一、檢附「102年迄今通報食肉目野生動物抓咬傷人案件清單」及「歷年食肉目野生動物咬人案件統計表」（附件1）。
- 二、由於野生動物皆具有野性，且狂犬病動物行為異常具主動攻擊性，民眾多因驚擾而遭咬傷，因此遇有可能罹病動物，切勿與之接觸或冒險捕捉，以確保自身安全；如遭疑患狂犬病動物咬傷時，應遵循1記、2沖、3送、4觀原則：
 - (一)記：保持冷靜，牢記動物特徵或拍照。
 - (二)沖：用大量肥皂、清水沖洗15分鐘，並以優碘消毒傷口。
 - (三)送：儘速送醫評估是否要接種疫苗或免疫球蛋白。
 - (四)觀：觀察動物行為。
- 三、鑑於越南有民眾因宰殺食用狂犬病動物而感染狂犬病案例(附件2)，請加強宣導民眾切勿宰殺食用野生動物或犬貓以免因此感染狂犬病。
- 四、為防範鼬獾入侵民宅咬傷民眾或動物，危害公共安全或民眾性命，民眾飼養犬貓務必每年定期施打狂犬病疫苗。民眾如有發現死亡或行為異常野生動物，或基於自我防衛設置捕鼠籠捕獲鼬獾時，請勿自行處理，應立即通報動物防疫機關作適當處置，如屬健康之動物應植入晶片及注射狂犬病疫苗後另擇合適地點野放。
- 五、請持續向民眾宣導「不要棄養寵物，不要接觸野生動物，要



每年帶家中犬貓及人工飼養陸生食肉目動物施打狂犬病疫苗」
之「二不一要」原則，以保障人畜生命財產安全。

- 正本：基隆市動物保護防疫所、臺北市動物保護處、新北市政府動物保護防疫處、桃園市政府動物保護處、新竹市動物保護及防疫所、臺中市動物保護防疫處、嘉義市政府建設處、臺南市動物防疫保護處、高雄市動物保護處、苗栗縣動物保護防疫所、新竹縣家畜疾病防治所、彰化縣動物防疫所、南投縣家畜疾病防治所、雲林縣動植物防疫所、嘉義縣家畜疾病防治所、屏東縣動物防疫所、宜蘭縣動植物防疫所、花蓮縣動植物防疫所、臺東縣動物防疫所、澎湖縣家畜疾病防治所、金門縣動植物防疫所、連江縣政府、本會動植物防疫檢疫局基隆分局、本會動植物防疫檢疫局新竹分局、本會動植物防疫檢疫局臺中分局、本會動植物防疫檢疫局高雄分局
- 副本：原住民族委員會、教育部、教育部國民及學前教育署、衛生福利部疾病管制署、本會林務局、本會特有生物研究保育中心、本會畜牧處、本會家畜衛生試驗所、本會動植物防疫檢疫局

電子公文
2017-14-2
交15:換16章

裝

訂

線

102年迄今通報食肉目野生動物抓咬傷人案件清單

編號	日期	地點	咬人動物別	狂犬病檢測結果
102年				
1	102/7/22	臺東縣東河鄉	鼬獾	(+)
2	102/7/25	苗栗縣苗栗市	雪貂	(-)
3	102/7/27	南投縣仁愛鄉	鼬獾	(+)
4	102/8/4	宜蘭縣頭城鎮	鼬獾	(-)
5	102/8/13	臺東縣卑南鄉	鼬獾	(+)
6	102/8/16	臺中市東勢區	鼬獾	(+)
7	102/8/28	南投縣水里鄉	鼬獾	(+)
8	102/9/15	臺東縣成功鎮	鼬獾	(+)
9	102/11/5	苗栗縣三義鄉	鼬獾	(-)
10	102/11/11	臺東縣鹿野鄉	鼬獾	(+)
11	102/11/21	臺東縣成功鎮	鼬獾	(+)
12	102/11/27	臺南市官田區	白鼻心	(-)
13	102/11/27	臺東縣池上鄉	鼬獾	(+)
103年				
1	103/1/5	臺東縣成功鎮	鼬獾	(+)
2	103/1/7	臺東縣池上鄉	鼬獾	(+)
3	103/1/19	臺東縣東河鄉	鼬獾	(+)
4	103/2/1	臺東縣東河鄉	鼬獾	(+)
5	103/2/28	臺南市南化區	鼬獾	(+)
6	103/3/15	臺東縣池上鄉	鼬獾	(+)
7	103/3/26	屏東縣獅子鄉	鼬獾	(+)
8	103/3/30	臺東縣成功鎮	鼬獾	(+)
9	103/4/9	南投縣竹山鎮	鼬獾	(+)
10	103/4/11	新竹縣寶山鄉	鼬獾	(-)
11	103/4/29	臺中市和平區	鼬獾	(+)
12	103/6/11	新北市林口區	白鼻心	(-)
13	103/8/4	臺東縣東河鄉	鼬獾	(+)
14	103/12/29	花蓮縣富里鄉	鼬獾	(+)
104年				
1	104/1/14	屏東縣獅子鄉	鼬獾	(+)
2	104/1/17	屏東縣內埔鄉	白鼻心	(-)
3	104/4/8	花蓮縣玉里鎮	鼬獾	(+)
4	104/5/28	臺中市神岡區	雪貂	(-)
5	104/9/8	嘉義縣竹崎鄉	鼬獾	(+)
6	104/10/9	臺中市西屯區	雪貂	(-)
7	104/10/27	嘉義縣梅山鄉	鼬獾	(+)
8	104/12/29	臺東縣鹿野鄉	白鼻心	(-)
105年				
1	105/1/4	臺南市白河區	鼬獾	(+)
2	105/1/11	花蓮縣新城鄉	浣熊(寵物)	(-)唾液
3	105/1/29	南投縣埔里鎮	鼬獾	(+)
4	105/2/17	高雄市杉林區	鼬獾	(+)
5	105/2/18	雲林縣古坑鄉	鼬獾	(+)
6	105/2/19	嘉義縣中埔鄉	鼬獾	(+)
7	105/4/5	嘉義縣大林鎮	鼬獾	(+)
8	105/4/26	花蓮縣豐濱鄉	鼬獾	(+)
9	105/4/30	南投縣魚池鄉	白鼻心	未捕捉到
10	105/8/2	嘉義縣番路鄉	鼬獾	(+)
11	105/8/19	花蓮縣玉里鎮	鼬獾	未捕捉到
12	105/11/2	新竹縣關西鎮	白鼻心	(-)
13	105/11/20	花蓮縣豐濱鄉	鼬獾	(+)
106年				
1	106/3/27	嘉義縣梅山鄉	鼬獾	未捕捉到
2	106/3/29	臺東縣東河鄉	鼬獾	(+)
3	106/4/15	屏東縣瑪家鄉	鼬獾	(+)
4	106/4/21	臺南市南化區	鼬獾	未捕捉到
5	106/4/29	嘉義縣番路鄉	鼬獾	(+)
6	106/5/11	臺東縣卑南鄉	白鼻心	未捕捉到
7	106/5/11	臺南市關廟區	鼬獾	(+)
8	106/5/19	臺南市白河區	鼬獾	(+)
9	106/5/21	嘉義縣番路鄉	鼬獾	(+)
10	106/5/23	新竹市北區	雪貂	(-)
11	106/5/26	花蓮縣玉里鎮	鼬獾	(+)
12	106/5/28	臺南市白河區	鼬獾	(-)
13	106/6/30	花蓮縣卓溪鄉	鼬獾	(+)
14	106/7/2	嘉義縣竹崎鄉	鼬獾	未捕捉到
15	106/7/12	花蓮縣卓溪鄉	鼬獾	(+)
16	106/10/5	嘉義縣阿里山鄉	鼬獾	未捕捉到
17	106/10/23	南投縣鹿谷鄉	鼬獾	(+)
18	106/10/27	南投縣中寮鄉	鼬獾	(+)
19	106/11/4	臺東縣成功鎮	鼬獾	(+)
20	106/11/20	南投縣竹山鎮	鼬獾	(+)

歷年食肉目野生動物咬人案件統計表

年度	陽性	陰性	未捕捉到	合計
102	9	4	0	13
103	12	2	0	14
104	4	4	0	8
105	9	2	2	13
106	13	2	5	20
合計	47	14	7	68

食肉目野生動物咬人動物類別統計表

動物別	狂犬病		未捕捉到	合計
	陽性	陰性		
鼬獾	47	4	5	56
白鼻心	0	5	2	7
雪貂	0	4	0	4
浣熊	0	1	0	1
合計	47	14	7	68

Furious Rabies after an Atypical Exposure

Heiman F. L. Wertheim*, Thai Q. Nguyen, Kieu Anh T. Nguyen, Menno D. de Jong, Walter R. J. Taylor, Tan V. Le, Ha H. Nguyen, Hanh T. H. Nguyen, Jeremy Farrar, Peter Horby, Hien D. Nguyen

Case Descriptions

Patient 1. A 48-year-old male construction worker, with no preceding medical illnesses, was admitted to the intensive care unit of a hospital in Hanoi. For a few days prior to admission he experienced pain and numbness in both forearms and a flushed sensation throughout his body. He also reported increased perspiration and increased libido. At presentation, the patient was lucid but markedly agitated, and was unable to swallow due to involuntary inspiratory muscle spasms when he was presented with a glass of water (Video S1) or when he felt a breeze. On examination he was afebrile, and had a dry mouth, normal heart rate and blood pressure, and a Glasgow Coma Score of 15. He had no focal neurological signs and no neck stiffness, and Kernig's sign was negative. Neither the patient nor his wife recalled that he had been bitten by a dog, cat, bat, or other mammal in the preceding months, and his skin showed no evidence of recent bite injuries or cuts.

Patient 2. A 37-year-old male farmer, without any prior medical history, presented himself to a Hanoi outpatient clinic with increased perspiration, intermittent muscle spasms of both legs, and the inability to drink normally due to involuntary inspiratory muscle spasms when he was presented with a glass of water or felt a breeze. Disease onset was several days before admission. On examination, the patient had a normal body temperature, heart rate, and blood pressure, and a Glasgow Coma Score of 15. The patient was lucid with periods of agitation. There were no focal neurological signs and no neck stiffness, and Kernig's sign was negative. He had bilateral pupil dilatation (~5 mm) with weak direct and consensual light reflexes. There were no signs of recent bites or other skin injuries, but the patient reported that he had been bitten in the heel by a pet dog one month prior to presentation. The dog had remained healthy since the bite.

The families of the patients in this manuscript have given written informed consent to publication of their case details.

At This Stage, What Was Our Differential Diagnosis?

Both patients presented with an acute progressive encephalopathy in which a prominent symptom was involuntary inspiratory muscle spasms when exposed to a glass of water (hydrophobia) or draft of air (aerophobia). At presentation there was no fever, and from the medical history it was unclear whether the patients had fever prior to presentation. There were no clinical signs of meningism that supported a diagnosis of bacterial meningitis. Tetanus was considered unlikely as the characteristic muscle rigidity and trismus were absent in both patients



doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000044.g001

Figure 1: Dog Meat for Consumption for Sale at a Market in Hanoi, Viet Nam

[1]. Neither patient had been vaccinated recently, ruling out post-vaccinal encephalomyelitis (acute disseminated encephalomyelitis), which may occur following vaccination [2]. Encephalopathy due to intoxication is usually associated with cognitive dysfunction and hence was considered unlikely as both patients had good cognitive function at presentation.

Funding: This work was funded by the Wellcome Trust of Great Britain. HFLW and WRJT are supported by the South East Asia Infectious Disease Clinical Research Network. The South East Asia Infectious Disease Clinical Research Network had an active role in the decision to publish and write this paper. The Wellcome Trust had no role in the decision to write or publish this paper.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Citation: Wertheim HFL, Nguyen TQ, Nguyen KAT, de Jong MD, Taylor WRJ, et al. (2009) Furious rabies after an atypical exposure. *PLoS Med* 6(3): e1000044. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000044

Copyright: © 2009 Wertheim et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abbreviations: CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; RT-PCR, reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction

Heiman F. L. Wertheim, Walter R. J. Taylor, and Peter Horby are with the Oxford University Clinical Research Unit–Hanoi, Hanoi, Viet Nam. Heiman F. L. Wertheim, Walter R. J. Taylor, Tan V. Le, Jeremy Farrar, and Peter Horby are with the Centre for Vaccinology and Tropical Medicine, Churchill Hospital, Oxford, United Kingdom. Heiman F. L. Wertheim and Walter R. J. Taylor are also with the SEAICRN Network Coordinating Center, Hanoi, Viet Nam. Thai Q. Nguyen, Ha H. Nguyen, and Hien D. Nguyen are with the National Institute of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, Hanoi, Viet Nam. Kieu Anh T. Nguyen and Hanh T. H. Nguyen are with the National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology, Hanoi, Viet Nam. Menno D. de Jong, Tan V. Le, and Jeremy Farrar are with the Oxford University Clinical Research Unit–Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam. Menno D. de Jong is also with the Department of Medical Microbiology, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: Hwertheim@oucru.org

Provenance: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed

The Learning Forum discusses an important clinical problem of relevance to a general medical audience.

Acute progressive encephalitis can be caused by several neurotropic viruses, including herpes viruses, enteroviruses, flaviviruses, arboviruses, and rabies virus. Viral encephalitis, other than rabies, can cause behavioural changes, but not the hydrophobic spasms that were observed in both patients [3]. Hydrophobia is specific for encephalitic rabies and is considered pathognomonic for this disease [4].

Rabies can manifest itself in three forms: (1) classic encephalitic (furious) rabies, (2) paralytic (dumb) rabies, and (3) non-classic atypical rabies. All forms are progressive and generally lead to death [5]. The majority of the cases present as encephalitic rabies, with hydrophobia and hyperexcitability. Paralytic rabies presents with flaccid muscle weakness, and can be confused with Guillain-Barré syndrome, although urinary incontinence and ongoing fever can distinguish paralytic rabies from Guillain-Barré syndrome [3]. Paralytic rabies can also present as a febrile encephalopathy, requiring laboratory testing to help identify the cause. The pathogenesis underlying these two clinical forms remains to be elucidated. The non-classic atypical rabies usually occurs following exposure to the bite of a bat, but has also been described after dog bites and presents amongst other neurological symptoms with neuropathic pain, focal brainstem signs, and myoclonus [5].

Why Did We Think That Rabies Was the Most Likely Diagnosis?

Both patients presented with hydrophobia, typical for furious rabies, which is induced by attempts to drink water, leading to reflex contraction of the inspiratory muscles and an inability to swallow [6]. Similar reflexes can be elicited by air flow (aerophobia) and other stimuli like noise [6]. These symptoms are caused by destruction of brain stem neurons that inhibit the inspiratory motor neurons [6,7]. Patients can have an inexplicable terror of water, associated with hydrophobia [7]. Due to decreased fluid intake, most patients have moderate to severe dehydration and renal impairment [8].

As hydrophobia is pathognomonic for rabies, other causes of encephalitis were considered unlikely. A typical exposure, like a dog bite, is not required in order to establish a diagnosis of rabies, as patients regularly do not recall such exposures, which may have occurred weeks to months before, and some exposures may go unnoticed. Neither patient had a history of an animal bite that may have been the source of infection. For this reason, neither patient received post-exposure rabies prophylaxis. Patient 1 did not have an exposure history that would require rabies prophylaxis as recommended by the World Health Organization (Table

1), and patient 2 was bitten by a dog that had remained well in the intervening months. World Health Organization guidelines state that if a dog has remained well for ten days after the exposure, there is little risk for rabies transmission and post-exposure treatment can be stopped [4]. However, both patients did have an alternative exposure that could have been a source of rabies infection.

Patient 1. Two months before admission, the patient had butchered and consumed a dog that had been killed in a road traffic accident. The patient took the dog's carcass home where he first extracted all the teeth with a knife. He mentioned he did this as a preventive measure against rabies, as he was aware of the presence of rabid dogs in his neighbourhood. He then singed the hide to remove the hair. This was followed by opening the skull to remove the brain, which was then steamed in leaves and eaten. During this butchering, the patient wore workman's gloves but no other protective equipment. The patient did not recall receiving any cuts or other injuries during preparation of the dog. Others who ate parts of the same dog remained well. All parts of the dog were cooked prior to being eaten.

Patient 2. Three weeks before admission the patient had killed, butchered, and consumed a cat that had been sick for three days. The cat had showed altered behaviour by sitting quietly in a dark corner, meowing and not wanting to be disturbed. The patient killed the cat by battering it, after which he singed the hide to remove the hairs. This was followed by opening the skull to remove the brain which the patient pulped with his bare hands to make a Vietnamese dish, called "rua man". This dish, consisting of cooked cat meat and other organs, was also consumed by other individuals who all remained well.

The exposure of both patients to the dog and cat, respectively, occurred within the recognised incubation period for rabies, which is most commonly one to three months after the exposure [3]. In Viet Nam, dog butchering is considered by clinicians to be a risk factor for rabies transmission.

Which Diagnostic Tests Are Now Helpful?

A lumbar puncture to obtain cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) was performed on both patients. The CSF showed mild pleocytosis and a normal glucose and protein level (Table 2), which is consistent with a diagnosis of rabies, although most rabies patients do have a mild elevated protein level in their CSF [3].

Several tests are available to confirm the diagnosis of rabies: reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR),

Table 1. Recommended Post-Exposure Prophylaxis, Depending on Type of Exposure

Category	Type of Contact with Suspect Rabid Animal	Type of Exposure	Recommended Post-Exposure Prophylaxis
I	Touching or feeding of animals Licks on intact skin	None	None, if reliable history
II	Nibbling of uncovered skin Minor scratches or abrasions without bleeding	Minor	Immediate vaccination
III	Transdermal bites or scratches Licks on broken skin Contact of mucous membrane with saliva (e.g., licks) Exposure to bites	Severe	Immediate vaccination and immunoglobulins. Stop if animal remains healthy after ten days or proven negative.

Adapted from [4].
doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000044.t001

Table 2. Laboratory Results on Admission, Including Microbiology

Laboratory Tests	Patient 1	Patient 2
Haematology		
Haemoglobin (g/dl)	14.9	15.2
Haematocrit (%)	0.46	0.46
Platelets (cells/l)	161 × 10 ⁹	275 × 10 ⁹
Leucocytes (cells/l)	8.8 × 10 ⁹	8.8 × 10 ⁹
ESR (mm)	23	Not done
Biochemistry		
Na (mmol/l)	151	139
K (mmol/l)	3.6	3.4
Cl (mmol/l)	110	109
Urea (mmol/l)	5.1	5.1
Glucose (mmol/l)	8.1	9.3
Creatinine (μmol/l)	113	94
AST (U/l)	44	59
ALT (U/l)	28	63
CSF		
Cells (cells/mm ³)	27	34
Protein (g/l)	0.2	0.1
Glucose	5.3	5.2
Gram stain	Negative	Not done
ZN stain	Negative	Not done
Culture	Negative	Not done
RT-PCR rabies	Negative	Negative
Saliva		
RT-PCR rabies	Positive	Positive

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; Cl, chloride; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; K, potassium; Na, sodium; ZN, Ziehl-Neelsen.
doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000044.t002

serology, direct fluorescent antibody test, histology, and viral culture [4,9]. Suitable specimens for rabies detection are saliva or a buccal swab, skin biopsy from the nape of the neck (containing hair follicles with nerve endings), ante- or post-mortem brain biopsy, CSF, and urine. In Asia and Africa, brain biopsies are rarely approved by the patient's family [10]. Serology can be performed on serum and CSF, but is of little immediate diagnostic value in the acute stage of the disease as rabies antibodies are generally not formed before the second week of illness [9,11,12]. Serology can be helpful for late diagnosis, for the purpose of instituting appropriate infection control and public health measures.

Molecular techniques, like RT-PCR, for rabies are becoming more widely available in developing countries [10]. Providing laboratories should have stringent procedures to prevent contamination. Suitable diagnostic specimens for detecting rabies virus RNA are saliva, buccal swab, skin (nape of the neck) and brain biopsy, and, to a lesser extent, CSF and urine [10,13,14]. Testing sequential specimens is sometimes necessary to ensure rabies diagnosis, but obtaining specimens can be difficult as patients deteriorate rapidly.

Both patients had positive RT-PCR results from their saliva but not from their CSF samples, using a previously described technique [15]. Serology was negative for both patients using a commercial ELISA (Platelia Rabies II kit, Biorad; <http://www.bio-rad.com/>).

What Is the Meaning of a Positive Rabies RT-PCR?

The RT-PCR positive test results from the saliva specimens of both patients confirmed the clinical diagnosis of rabies. Other than brain and skin biopsies, saliva has the highest rate of positivity, of approximately 75% [10,16]. Saliva can

reach a 100% sensitivity when three successive samples are tested [10,16]. A recent study showed that RT-PCR on skin biopsies reached more than 98% sensitivity and 100% specificity, which correlates well with the gold standard of the immunofluorescence test on brain biopsies [10]. The diagnostic value of urine is limited, as less than 10% of rabies patients have a RT-PCR positive urine at admission [10]. The sensitivity of buccal swabs is lower than that of saliva [10]. A false-positive result may occur because of poor laboratory technique and contamination, and hence stringent operating procedures must be in place and appropriate controls should be included at every stage from extraction of the nucleic acid through to the amplification stages.

Neither patient had rabies antibodies in sera obtained within a week of disease onset, as this was too soon for seroconversion [11,12]. Specimens could not be obtained at a later stage as the patients died soon after admission.

What Is the Standard Management for This Condition?

Rabies is almost invariably fatal [17]. A small number of survivors have been reported, but all except one had received some form of pre- or post-exposure prophylaxis [17,18]; this patient, who developed rabies after being bitten by a bat, was treated with a combination of supportive care, a drug-induced coma, and antiviral treatment with ribavirin and amantadine, known as the Milwaukee protocol [18]. Attempts with this experimental regimen in other patients have been unsuccessful, and all died [19]. Currently the efficacy of this experimental treatment remains unclear and requires further study.

Rabies comes with agonizing symptoms, and therefore good supportive and palliative care remains the cornerstone of rabies management [17,20]. Though a well-documented case of human-to-human transmission of rabies has not been described and is considered rare, it is advised to use barrier precautions for those taking care of the patient. Neurological symptoms and medical complications of the rabies patient need to be anticipated and can partly be managed with the use of sedatives, narcotic analgesics, antiepileptic drugs, and neuromuscular blockers [17]. Haloperidol with or without diazepam was found to improve palliative care in the Philippines, but the efficacy differs per patient and requires tailoring [20]. Unfortunately, practical guidelines for resource-poor settings are unavailable. There is a need for recommendations on how to provide palliative care for rabies patients in these settings. Because patients are often taken home to die by their relatives, to avoid perceived unnecessary costs, recommendations for palliative care also need to be applicable to the home setting [10].

What Was the Outcome of the Described Cases?

Patient 1 received supportive treatment with diazepam and intravenous rehydration, as is standard practice in Viet Nam. Several hours after admission, the patient developed a fever of 39 °C and became progressively more agitated and restless. For this reason, coma was induced by thiopental and mechanical ventilation started. His fever persisted, and he became hypotensive and developed acute renal failure. Six days after admission, the patient was taken home by his family to die. Patient 2 was taken home by his family on the day of admission after the clinical diagnosis was made, because of the poor prognosis. He died one day after discharge.

Discussion

Here we present two patients with laboratory-confirmed rabies who became symptomatic after butchering, preparing, and consuming a dog and a cat. The point of entry of the rabies virus in these cases is unclear, but removal and preparation of the dog's and cat's brains may have generated large amounts of infectious rabies virus, with transmission occurring via either the conjunctiva, or the oral and nasopharyngeal mucosae [21]. Alternatively, the patients may have become infected through contamination of unrecognized cuts or abrasions of their hands. Rabies following the handling of infected carcasses has been previously reported [22]. Another possibility is oral transmission, as rabies has also been shown to be transmitted orally in experiments [23–25]. But consumption of infected brain seems a less likely route of infection because, in both cases, the brain meals were well cooked and shared with other people who did not develop rabies.

Since we had no access to specimens from the butchered and consumed animals and were unable to test them for rabies, the exact source of rabies in these patients remains uncertain, and another source of unnoticed animal contact cannot be excluded. However, both patients became ill within the expected incubation time for rabies following the exposure during butchering, and the butchered cat showed abnormal behaviour before being killed, consistent with the prodromal stage of rabies. No information was available on the health of the dog prior to its death, but rabies could have made it accident-prone, resulting in the accident which killed it.

Rabies is estimated to cause 31,000 deaths per year in Asia, representing approximately 60% of the annual cases worldwide [26], and the number of human cases has been increasing in China and Viet Nam [27]. This number is an underestimate, as only encephalitic rabies is recognized, while other forms are often missed [28]. In China, where fewer than 10% of dogs are vaccinated, 3,380 people are reported to have died of rabies in 2007 [29]. Eating dog meat (Figure 1) and, to a lesser extent, cat meat is common in many Asian countries, including Viet Nam, South Korea, the Philippines, Laos, Myanmar, Cambodia, Thailand, India, Kazakhstan, and the People's Republic of China. In Viet Nam, the consumption of dog meat is more common in the northern provinces. It is believed that the eating of dog meat "enhances health and longevity" [30]. Dog meat is consumed throughout the year in the second half of the lunar month, and is more popular during the winter months, as it is also believed to increase body heat [30].

In 2007, ten human rabies cases (80% male and all older than 15 years) were confirmed by the laboratory of the National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology of Viet Nam (NIHE). Of these ten cases, four (40%) did not have a history of dog bites, and three of these four had prepared dog meat from sick animals prior to onset of illness. The fourth patient did not report being bitten by a dog or handling dog meat, but had eaten dog meat. NIHE conducted a study in dog slaughter houses in the Hanoi area in 2007 and found that two out of ten (20%) sick dogs were positive for rabies [31]. Vietnamese doctors consider dog butchering a risk factor for rabies transmission.

Slaughtering of dogs has been reported as a risk for rabies transmission in the Philippines and in China [32,33]. In

Key Learning Points

- Butchering of unvaccinated dogs and cats in rabies-endemic countries should be considered a risk factor for rabies transmission.
- The diagnosis of classic furious rabies presenting with hydrophobia can be made clinically by experienced doctors. Paralytic rabies, atypical rabies, and the prodromal stages of classic furious rabies are less specific, and laboratory testing is required.
- Antigen detection by molecular (RT-PCR) and immunofluorescent techniques are used to confirm diagnosis, preferably on two or more specimens, like skin biopsy from the nape of the neck, saliva, or buccal swab.
- Rabies is a fatal disease. The few described survivors generally have serious neurological sequelae. A recent survivor did have a favourable outcome after an experimental therapy, which requires further study.
- Good supportive and palliative care is the cornerstone of rabies case management. Rabies case management guidelines for resource-poor settings need to be developed and implemented.

January 2008, 30 people from the Philippines were reported to have received anti-rabies vaccination after having eaten the meat of a rabid dog [32]. An epidemiological survey in China reported that two out of 64 patients contracted rabies by either killing, cooking, or consuming dogs [33,34]. These data illustrate that the risk of transmission through butchering and processing of rabid animals is not restricted to Viet Nam. Slaughtering of unvaccinated rabies reservoir species in endemic areas needs to be considered a category III exposure (Table 2), requiring prophylaxis.

Neither of the patients we describe sought or received potentially life-saving post-exposure rabies prophylaxis. Rabies control programmes should carry out public health messaging campaigns to alert clinicians and the general public that the butchering and handling of meat from unvaccinated reservoir species is a risk factor for acquiring rabies. Dogs are the main reservoir for human rabies, and the raising, butchering, processing, and consumption of dogs should be regulated and controlled. In Viet Nam, the national program for rabies control and prevention includes workers at dog slaughterhouses in their vaccination program. However, the private slaughter of dogs is common in Viet Nam, and individuals involved in this practice will not be captured in this program. Unregulated raising and slaughtering of dogs should be rigorously discouraged through legislation and education. Ultimately, the best way to prevent rabies is to vaccinate the reservoir species.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Matt Steinglass for helping to edit our manuscript.

Supporting Information

Video S1. Patient 1 with Hydrophobia

Oral and written informed consent from the patient and his family was obtained.

Found at doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000044.sv001 (4221 KB WMV).

References

1. Farrar JJ, Yen LM, Cook T, Fairweather N, Binh N, et al. (2000) Tetanus. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 69: 292-301.
2. Huynh W, Cordato DJ, Kehdi E, Masters LT, Dedouis C (2008) Post-vaccination encephalomyelitis: Literature review and illustrative case. *J Clin Neurosci* 15: 1315-1322.
3. Jackson AC, Wunner WH (2002) Rabies. San Diego: Academic Press. 485 p.
4. [No authors listed] (2005) WHO Expert Consultation on rabies. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser* 931: 1-88, back cover.
5. Hemachudha T, Laothamatas J, Rupprecht CE (2002) Human rabies: A disease of complex neuropathogenetic mechanisms and diagnostic challenges. *Lancet Neurol* 1: 101-109.
6. Warrell DA (1976) The clinical picture of rabies in man. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 70: 188-195.
7. Warrell DA, Davidson NM, Pope HM, Bailie WE, Lawrie JH, et al. (1976) Pathophysiologic studies in human rabies. *Am J Med* 60: 180-190.
8. Daher Ede F, da Silva Junior GB, Ferreira MT, Barros FA, Gurgel TM, et al. (2005) Renal involvement in human rabies: Clinical manifestations and autopsy findings of nine cases from northeast of Brazil. *Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo* 47: 315-320.
9. Anderson LJ, Nicholson KG, Tauxe RV, Winkler WG (1984) Human rabies in the United States, 1960 to 1979: Epidemiology, diagnosis, and prevention. *Ann Intern Med* 100: 728-735.
10. Dacheux L, Reynes JM, Buchy P, Sivuth O, Diop BM, et al. (2008) A reliable diagnosis of human rabies based on analysis of skin biopsy specimens. *Clin Infect Dis* 47: 1410-1417.
11. Woldehiwet Z (2005) Clinical laboratory advances in the detection of rabies virus. *Clin Chim Acta* 351: 49-63.
12. Schuller E, Helary M, Allinquant B, Gibert C, Vachon F, et al. (1979) IgM and IgG antibody responses in rabies encephalitis. *Ann Microbiol (Paris)* 130A: 365-372.
13. Nagaraj T, Vasanth JP, Desai A, Kamat A, Madhusudana SN, et al. (2006) Ante mortem diagnosis of human rabies using saliva samples: Comparison of real time and conventional RT-PCR techniques. *J Clin Virol* 36: 17-23.
14. Crepin P, Audry L, Rotivel Y, Gacoin A, Caroff C, et al. (1998) Intravitam diagnosis of human rabies by PCR using saliva and cerebrospinal fluid. *J Clin Microbiol* 36: 1117-1121.
15. Nishizono A, Mannen K, Elio-Villa LP, Tanaka S, Li KS, et al. (2002) Genetic analysis of rabies virus isolates in the Philippines. *Microbiol Immunol* 46: 413-417.
16. Hemachudha T, Wacharapluesadee S (2004) Antemortem diagnosis of human rabies. *Clin Infect Dis* 39: 1085-1086.
17. Jackson AC, Warrell MJ, Rupprecht CE, Ertl HC, Dietzschold B, et al. (2003) Management of rabies in humans. *Clin Infect Dis* 36: 60-63.
18. Willoughby RE Jr, Tieves KS, Hoffman GM, Ghanayem NS, Amlie-Lefond CM, et al. (2005) Survival after treatment of rabies with induction of coma. *N Engl J Med* 352: 2508-2514.
19. Hemachudha T, Sunsanecwityakul B, Desudchit T, Suankratay C, Sittipunt C, et al. (2006) Failure of therapeutic coma and ketamine for therapy of human rabies. *J Neurovirol* 12: 407-409.
20. Marsden CF, Cabanban CR (2006) Rabies: A significant palliative care issue. *Prog Palliat Care* 14: 62-67.
21. Winkler WG, Fashinell TR, Leffingwell L, Howard P, Conomy P (1973) Airborne rabies transmission in a laboratory worker. *JAMA* 226: 1219-1221.
22. Tariq WU, Shafi MS, Jamal S, Ahmad M (1991) Rabies in man handling infected calf. *Lancet* 337: 1224.
23. Barnard BJ, Hassel RH, Geyer HJ, De Koker WC (1982) Non-bite transmission of rabies in kudu (*Tragelaphus strepsiceros*). *Onderstepoort J Vet Res* 49: 191-192.
24. Fischman HR, Ward FE 3rd (1968) Oral transmission of rabies virus in experimental animals. *Am J Epidemiol* 88: 132-138.
25. Bell JF, Moore GJ (1971) Susceptibility of carnivora to rabies virus administered orally. *Am J Epidemiol* 93: 176-182.
26. Knobel DL, Cleaveland S, Coleman PG, Fevre EM, Meltzer MI, et al. (2005) Re-evaluating the burden of rabies in Africa and Asia. *Bull World Health Organ* 83: 360-368.
27. Liu Q, Xiong Y, Luo TR, Wei YC, Nan SJ, et al. (2007) Molecular epidemiology of rabies in Guangxi Province, south of China. *J Clin Virol* 39: 295-303.
28. Mallewa M, Fooks AR, Banda D, Chikungwa P, Mankhambo L, et al. (2007) Rabies encephalitis in malaria-endemic area, Malawi, Africa. *Emerg Infect Dis* 13: 136-139.
29. ProMED-mail (2008 January 19) Rabies, canine—China: Compulsory vaccination. Available: http://www.promedmail.org/pls/otn/f?p=2400:1202:4146592112051478::NO::F2400_P1202_CHECK_DISPLAY,F2400_P1202_PUB_MAIL_ID:X.41911. Accessed 12 February 2009.
30. [No authors listed] (2000 August 19) Dog food. Vietnamese canine delicacies. Bangkok Post. Available: <http://www.corkscrew-balloon.com/00/08/3thai/19a.html>. Accessed 9 February 2009.
31. Nguyen KAT, Ngo GC, Nguyen DV, Nguyen TQ, Phan TT, et al. (2008) [Quick detection of genomic rabies virus by direct RT PCR.] [Article in Vietnamese.] *Vietnam J Mil Pharm Med* 33: 114-118.
32. Aguilar E (2008 January 13) Rabies feared in 30 dog meat eaters. *Phillipine Daily Inquirer*.
33. Wallerstein C (1999) Rabies cases increase in the Philippines. *BMJ* 318: 1306.
34. Kureishi A, Xu LZ, Wu H, Stiver HG (1992) Rabies in China: Recommendations for control. *Bull World Health Organ* 70: 443-450.